



Paper for the 5th Annual Conference of the **International Initiative for the Promotion of Political Economy (IIPPE)**, 16-18 September 2014, Università degli Studi di Napoli “L’Orientale”, Naples, Italy

# **The financialisation of South African grain cooperatives. What room for the agrarian capital?**

Antoine Ducastel & Ward Anseeuw



## Agrarian capital and finance capital

- South African white commercial farmers as agrarian capital (Bernstein, 2013).
- Direct involvement of the financial industry in the South African agricultural sector -i.e. farms, agribusiness firms, banks.
- Reconfiguration of the accumulation process and the social relations underlying it in the South African agricultural sector.



# The grain cooperatives: a key institution for the SA agrarian capital

- The grain cooperatives during the Apartheid:
  - Intermediaries between government and white commercial farmers (i.e. loans, commodities Board)
  - Public subsidies to build agricultural infrastructure (i.e. silos)
  - Geographical monopoly
  - Cooperatives' structures ensured farmers' control
- The conversion process in the 1990's:
  - From coops to public companies
  - From members to shareholders
- Safeguard of **their** dominant position in the production chain (Bernstein, 2013; Greenberg, 2009)



## **1 problematic:**

- How does the finance capital shake the balance of power within the South African former grain cooperatives, and beyond, in the agricultural sector?

## **2 closely related issues:**

- The concrete implementation of the financialisation devices and mechanisms in these firms
- The various paths of financialisation and the uneven resistance capacities from one firm to another

## **2 case studies analysed in a historical perspective:**

- Afgri and Zeder

# 1. The financialisation of Afgri

- Former Oos Transvaal Kooperasie
- First and only former agricultural coop listed on the JSE (1996)
- Financialisation of the company in two different steps:
  - Financial rationalization (2000-2014)
  - Private equity takeover (2014-...)



## A. The financial rationalization of Agri (2000-2014)

- Transformation of the firm's strategy, management and governance:
  - Substitution of executive and non-executive directors on Afgri board
  - Sale of the debtors' book
  - Reduction of company's staff
  - Sale of « non-core business » (e.g. milling division)
  - Geographical expansion –mainly in SA-
  - Implementation of the « Economic value added » framework and metrics
- Evolution of the firm's control and ownership:
  - Marginalization of farmers as shareholders and Board directors
  - Ownership of institutional shareholders (i.e. pension funds, insurance companies, etc.)
  - Emergence and empowerment of a professional management team

## B. The private equity takeover (2014)

- The pool of investors (Mauritius):
  - **Fairfax Financial Holding** (Canada) and others North-American investors (60%) - **Agrigroupe**
  - The **South African Government Employee Pension Fund** (15%)
  - The **Bafepi Agri Trust**: Black Economic Empowerment Trust (20%)
  - The **Afgri management team** (5%)
- The battle for Afgri's asset:
  - Resistance from black emerging farmers (African Farmers Association of South Africa, AFASA)
  - Divisions in the SA government
- Afgri as an investment company? 'Off-shorisation' and African expansion

## C. The two waves of Afgri's financialisation

- Promotion of private equity as a privileged takeover mechanism
- From a financial restructuring according to the shareholders principles to the conquest of 'frontier markets'
- Changes in the investors' profiles:
  - Involvement of foreign investors (new appetite for the agricultural sector and Africa)
  - A Black Economic Empowerment Trust
  - The SA government



## 2. Zeder and the « financialisation in reverse »

### ➤ Zeder's strategy

*“The complete transformation of co-operatives to profitable public companies with good management, sweating the rich assets and shares that are freely tradable, reflecting a share price that is closer to fair value” (Zeder, 2008)*

- Non-controlling strategic stakes
- Unbundling of the former coops
- Financial rationalization: governance (i.e. Board comitee) and share trading



## ➤ Resistance from agrarian capital

- Agrarian capital instruments against finance capital:
  - Share trading restrictions (i.e. OTC platforms)
  - Farmers controlling blocks
- Tension between two types of shareholders: shareholder-customer and shareholder-investor
- « Financialisation in reverse » (Burch & Larwence, 2009) and profesionalisation of the management

# Conclusion

- Professional managers play a key role in the financialisation of these agribusiness firms on behalf of either of the financial capital or the agrarian capital
- Marginalization of the (smaller) white commercial farmers in the control and ownership of the former coops; role of these farmers for the expansion into Africa
- Invisibilisation of black farmers; promotion of a black urban elite -redistribution from above-
- Development and empowerment policies through financial mechanisms: private equity promoted as a political instrument



# Thank you

Antoine Ducastel  
[antoine.ducastel@cirad.fr](mailto:antoine.ducastel@cirad.fr)